		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	mary	10/635,483	HALSTROM, LEONARD W.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
·		Camtu T. Nguyen	3743	
All Participants:		Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Camtu T. Nguyen</u> .		(3)		
(2) <u>Brian Long</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: $\frac{12/8}{6}$		Time:		
	☐ Applicad	nt's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				ref.
Claims discussed: 5 and 24				
o and 27				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				·
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet				
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
CTN				
	(Applicant	'Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appr	ropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's representative, Mr. Long, to discuss claims 5 and 24 and to put them in condition for allowance. In particular, the Examiner brought attention to Mr. Long the limitation first-mentioned manually adjustable screw lacks of antecedent. Mr. Long authorized Examiner's Amendment to correct the lack of antecedent and as well as to clarify other minor terms in the claim, as presented in the Examiner's Amendment.